

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/677,493	Applicant(s) YANG, GUANG	
	Examiner BAOQUOC TO	Art Unit 2162	

All Participants:

(1) BAOQUOC TO.

(2) George Guang Yang (Pro se Applicant).

Date of Interview: July 30 2010

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2 and 6-7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/BAOQUOC TO/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant contacted examiner once again to request changes to the Examiner Amendment dated on 05/17/2009 which applicant did not want the change in the Interview Summary on July 29, 2010. The changes would be as follow: incorporating the allowed claim language of 6 and 7 into allowed claim 2 and changing the dependency of claims allowed 6 and 7 depending on claim 4. Applicants indicated by amending the language of claim 2 would capture the invention entirely. Examiner also advised applicant by incorporating the language of claims 6 and 7 into claim 2, would made the new claim 2 more narrow than its original allowance claim 2. Applicant acknowledged the potential of claim narrowness; however, applicant desired to have this new amended claim 2.